Date: January 4, 2011
To: Village Community Development District \#4 Board of Supervisors
From:
ISSUE:


Approval of the District \#4 Capital Improvement Plan - Fiscal Years 2010/11 - 2019/20

## BACKGROUND:

As you know, one of the goals for the Board and District staff was to complete a Capital Improvement Plan for the District. After the Fixed Assets records were completed, work began on creating a capital improvement plan which included staff completing physical surveys of the District's assets which consists mostly of roads and fences. After months of preparation and discussion, attached is the Final Capital Improvement Plan Fiscal Years 2010/11-2019/20 for your approval.

The Capital Improvement Plan is a management and planning tool to help ensure the sustainability of the District. The CIP provides a ten-year plan for identifying capital and major maintenance projects along with a funding plan. It also is an excellent communication tool for residents in understanding when specific projects/areas are planned to be completed and how the projects will be funded. This document becomes the foundation for future CIPs as it will be updated on an annual basis during the budget process. The first year will be dropped and a year will be added at the end to continue as a ten-year plan.

During the March 23, 2010 workshop, the Board discussed and provided direction for the PCI level, road maintenance and priority road projects. During a bond workshop on August 30, 2010, the Board reviewed and discussed various capital projects. During the December 10, 2010 regular meeting, the Board reviewed the working copy and discussed the various fencing options. The attached final copy incorporates the approved wood/composite fence replacement option along with the approved transfer amounts for the newly-created Fence R \& R and the Road R \& R as discussed at the December meeting. Once the CIP is approved, the document will be made available on the District's website.

This Capital Improvement Plan includes total estimated capital and major maintenance expenditures of $\$ 3,261,546$ over the ten year period with $\$ 2,716,456$ for roads, $\$ 415,121$ for fences and $\$ 129,969$ for wall/entry sign painting. The majority of the funding for these projects will come from the Road $R$ \& R funds, the newly-created Fence R \& R, Operating funds and Working Capital.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Board approve the District \#4 Capital Improvement Plan Fiscal Years 2010/11 - 2019/20.

## MOTION:

Motion to approve the District \#4 Capital Improvement Plan Fiscal Years 2010/11-2019/20.

[^0]CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FISCAL YEARS 2010/11-2019/20
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## CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN OVERVIEW

The District Board recognizes that the development of a multi-year capital improvement plan (CIP) is important to provide a comprehensive and cost effective approach to identifying capital needs of the District.

The Capital Improvement Plan is beneficial to the District for many reasons such as:

1. Focuses attention on long range community goals and needs. Capital projects can be brought into line with the District's objectives, allowing projects to be prioritized based on need and funding availability.
2. Allows for an informed public. The CIP reporting document keeps residents informed about the future capital investment plans of the District, as well as becoming aware of projects, timelines and associated costs.
3. Encourages efficient program administration. Knowing in advance what, when and where projects will be undertaken leads to effective scheduling of available personnel, equipment and financial resources.
4. Identifies the most economically sound manner of funding projects. By fiscally constraining all five years of the CIP, the District is able to identify projects without a funding source and work to put in place sources of funding, smoothing the need for sharp increases in assessments.

The development of the Capital Improvement Plan is a continual process and, consequently, should be viewed as a working document. Therefore, the CIP document is developed from a multi-year planning perspective, evaluated and revised every year during the budget process in order to include new projects, reflect changes in ongoing projects and extend the program an additional year.

The first year of the plan is incorporated into the annual budget to appropriate funds. Improvements identified in subsequent years are approved only on a planning basis with no official appropriation.

This Capital Improvement Plan includes capital costs and some maintenance costs such as wall and entry sign painting. Capital costs included in this plan include new or improvements to infrastructure (roads and fencing) that have a unit cost of $\$ 10,000$ or more and a useful life that exceeds one year. The estimated costs are based on current year dollars.

## FUNDING SOURCES

The original construction of the District's infrastructure was funded through a bond issue however; the objective was to fund the ongoing maintenance and replacement costs with the District's maintenance assessment revenues. One of the purposes of developing the CIP is to minimize the spikes in the assessment through long-term planning. Over the past years, the Districts have designated funds to be placed in reserves for roads, multi-modal paths and general purposes. These funds were considered in addition to available working capital funding to determine the funding plan for the ten-year plan.

Every capital project included in this CIP has an adequate funding source identified for the project. There is not an increase in maintenance assessments included in this ten-year plan.

While determining available resources, several assumptions were made: operating expenditures would increase annually by 1\%; working capital would remain at a level equal to three months of operating expenditures and estimates were based on current dollars using current bid prices when available.

A Project Funding Summary found on page 3 provides an overview of the project totals and the funding source by fiscal year with total recaps by project type and by funding source. The Working Capital and R \& R Fund Balances found at the end of the plan is a summary of the funding sources by type by fiscal year. This report reflects the balances of the funding sources by fiscal year and highlights the funding source ending balance at the end of the tenyear plan.

This Capital Improvement Plan is an end result of numerous hours of work by the District's staff and the Board of Supervisors working collaboratively to provide a planning and financial tool for the sustainability of the District.

DISTRICT \# 4 PROJECT FUNDING SUMMARY

| PROJECT TOTAL BY SOURCE |  | ROADS |  | FENCE |  | WALL | OTHER |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TRF to } \\ & \text { R \& R } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Capital | Maint. | Capital | Maint. |  |  |  |  |
| 2010-11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating | \$25,293 |  |  |  | \$9,375 | \$15,918 |  |  |  |
| Working Capital | \$328,308 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$328,308 |
| General R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fence R \& R $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating | \$31,810 |  |  |  | \$12,763 | \$19,047 |  |  |  |
| Working Capital | \$260,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$260,000 |
| General R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road R \& R | \$91,102 | \$91,102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fence R \& R $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012-13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating | \$22,810 |  |  |  | \$9,013 | \$13,797 |  |  |  |
| Working Capital | \$260,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$260,000 |
| General R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road R \& R | \$259,836 | \$259,836 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fence R \& R $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working Capital | \$260,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$260,000 |
| General R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road R \&R | \$438,083 | \$438,083 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fence R \& R $\$ 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014-15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating | \$1,913 |  |  |  | \$1,913 |  |  |  |  |
| Working Capital | \$274,400 |  | \$14,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$260,000 |
| General R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fence R \& R $\$ 178,518$ |  |  |  | \$178,518 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015-16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating | \$13,020 |  |  |  |  | \$13,020 |  |  |  |
| Working Capital | \$299,600 |  | \$39,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$260,000 |
| General R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road R \& R | \$102,891 | \$102,891 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fence R \& R $\$ 41,790$ |  |  |  | \$41,790 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating | \$19,425 |  |  |  |  | \$19,425 |  |  |  |
| Working Capital | \$335,600 |  | \$75,600 |  |  |  |  |  | \$260,000 |
| General R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road R \& R | \$515,051 | \$515,051 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fence R \& R | \$141,571 |  |  | \$141,571 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating | \$11,172 |  |  |  |  | \$11,172 |  |  |  |
| Working Capital | \$274,400 |  | \$14,400 |  |  |  |  |  | \$260,000 |
| General R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road R \& R | \$693,917 | \$693,917 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fence R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating | \$30,108 |  | \$3,600 |  | \$2,715 | \$23,793 |  |  |  |
| Working Capital | \$270,000 |  |  |  | \$10,000 |  |  |  | \$260,000 |
| General R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road R \& R | \$252,917 | \$252,917 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fence R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019-20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating | \$24,860 |  | \$3,600 |  | \$7,463 | \$13,797 |  |  |  |
| Working Capital | \$260,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$260,000 |
| General R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road R \& R | \$211,459 | \$211,459 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fence R \& R | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL CIP FY 2010-2020 BY EXPENSE TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ 2,565,256 | \$ 151,200 | \$ 361,879 | \$ 53,242 | \$ 129,969 | \$ | - | \$ 2,668,308 |


| Project Expense Capital/Maint. Recap |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project | Capital | Maint. | Total |
| Road | $\$ 2,565,256$ | $\$ 151,200$ | $\$ 2,716,456$ |
| Fence | $\$ 361,879$ | $\$ 53,242$ | $\$ 415,121$ |
| Wall | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 129,969$ | $\$ 129,969$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| TEN YEAR TOTAL | $\$ 2,927,135$ | $\$ 334,411$ | $\$ 3,261,546$ |


| Project Funding/Expense Recap |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Funding Source | Expense |
| Operating | $\$ 180,411$ |
| Working Capital | $\$ 222,308$ |
| General R \& R | $\$ 0$ |
| Fence R \& R | $\$ 361,879$ |
| Road R \&R | $\$ 2,565,256$ |
| TOTAL | $\$ 3,329,854$ |

DISTRICT \# 4 WORKING CAPITAL and R \& R FUND BALANCES

## Wood \& Composite

Road Reserve Transfer \$199,000 beginning FY 11/12 through FY 16/17
Road Reserve Transfer $\$ 245,000$ beginning FY 17/18 through FY 19/20
Fence R \& R Transfer \$61,000 beginning FY 11/12 through FY 16/17
Fence R \& R Transfer \$15,000 beginning FY 17/18 through FY 19/20

| Working Capital | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Balance | 596,238 | 596,238 | 650,066 | 689,268 | 713,700 | 708,812 | 663,656 | 567,281 | 516,734 | 455,063 |
| Add Deposits | 1,776,338 | 1,776,338 | 1,776,338 | 1,776,338 | 1,776,338 | 1,776,338 | 1,776,338 | 1,776,338 | 1,776,338 | 1,776,338 |
| Less Expenditures - Operating | 1,448,030 | 1,462,510 | 1,477,135 | 1,491,907 | 1,506,826 | 1,521,894 | 1,537,113 | 1,552,484 | 1,568,009 | 1,583,689 |
| Less Capital Improvement Plan Expenditures | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,400 | 39,600 | 75,600 | 14,400 | 10,000 | 0 |
| LessTransfer | 328,308 | 260,000 | 260,000 | 260,000 | 260,000 | 260,000 | 260,000 | 260,000 | 260,000 | 260,000 |
| Ending Balance | 596,238 | 650,066 | 689,268 | 713,700 | 708,812 | 663,656 | 567,281 | 516,734 | 455,063 | 387,712 |

RESERVES

| General R \& R | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Balance | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 |
| Add Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less Capital Improvement Plan Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LessTransfer/Add Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending Balance | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 | 707,771 |
| Road Maintenance R \& R | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
| Beginning Balance | 1,418,882 | 1,747,190 | 1,855,088 | 1,794,252 | 1,555,169 | 1,754,169 | 1,850,278 | 1,534,227 | 1,085,310 | 1,077,393 |
| Add Deposits | 328,308 | 199,000 | 199,000 | 199,000 | 199,000 | 199,000 | 199,000 | 245,000 | 245,000 | 245,000 |
| Less Capital Improvement Plan Expenditures | 0 | 91,102 | 259,836 | 438,083 | 0 | 102,891 | 515,051 | 693,917 | 252,917 | 211,459 |
| Ending Balance | 1,747,190 | 1,855,088 | 1,794,252 | 1,555,169 | 1,754,169 | 1,850,278 | 1,534,227 | 1,085,310 | 1,077,393 | 1,110,934 |
| Fence R\& R | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
| Beginning Balance | 0 | 0 | 61,000 | 122,000 | 183,000 | 65,482 | 84,692 | 4,121 | 19,121 | 34,121 |
| Add Deposits | 0 | 61,000 | 61,000 | 61,000 | 61,000 | 61,000 | 61,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Less Capital Improvement Plan Expenditures | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178,518 | 41,790 | 141,571 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ending Balance | 0 | 61,000 | 122,000 | 183,000 | 65,482 | 84,692 | 4,121 | 19,121 | 34,121 | 49,121 |


| FY 09-10 Operating Budget | $\$ 1,537,815$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 Months | $\$ 384,454$ |
| 4 Months | $\$ 512,605$ |

## DISTRICT \# 4 PAVEMENT AND ROAD MANAGEMENT

Included within the District are three types of roads: villa, residential and collector roads. District 4 is responsible for maintaining approximately 9.37 miles of villa roads, approximately 27.31 miles of residential roads and approximately 8.06 miles of collector roads.

Pavements are an important District infrastructure investment and our goal is to create an effective pavement maintenance program to address pavement needs before the onset of serious damage with efforts towards maximizing the value and extending the remaining service life of our pavement network.

## PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM PROCESS

The District has incorporated a pavement management system that combines engineering principles with cost effective activities to facilitate a more organized and logical approach to pavement decision-making.

In 2009, Districts 1-7 participated in a Request for Proposal (RFP) to contract for a vendor to develop a consistent methodology with regard to data collection, management and maintenance of the road network throughout The Villages. The District contracted with Transmap Corporation to survey the villa road systems along with the resident and collector roads for District 4. The data collected by Transmap was incorporated into a Pavement Management System program. This program utilizes coding of roadway conditions coupled with the cost options to determine maintenance or re-construction activities.

In July, 2009 Transmap used its mapping van and technology to collect road images and data. The mapping van captured the pavement features and distresses at fifteen foot intervals. The data was input into the pavement management system to produce a pavement condition index (PCI) for each road surveyed. The road information, a map with the pavement condition index score and access to the web based pavement management system was provided to the District Board in November, 2009.

## PAVEMENT CONDITION INDEX (PCI)

The Pavement Condition Index (PCI) is a numerical index between 0 and 100 and is used to indicate the condition of a roadway. Pavement scores are based on 100 as good and 0 as failed. All roads on the map are color coded based on their condition and pavement condition index ( PCI ). The PCI range and road condition description are listed in the chart below.

| PCI | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| $86-100$ | Good |
| $71-85$ | Satisfactory |
| $56-70$ | Fair |
| $41-55$ | Poor |
| $26-40$ | Very Poor |
| $11-25$ | Serious |
| $0-10$ | Failed |

Transmap identified the road conditions in District \# 4 as .18\% fair, $7.09 \%$ satisfactory and $92.73 \%$ as good.

At the March 23, 2010 District Budget Workshop, the Board of Supervisors established that for maintenance and planning purposes the pavement condition index for the District will not fall below a PCl of 75 .

## MAINTENANCE PLAN

District Property Management has developed a maintenance plan and associated costs utilizing this pavement condition index as a baseline along with ongoing physical surveys by Property Management staff. District Property Management's maintenance and rehabilitation approach utilizes continuous and preventive maintenance to prolong the life span of Villa pavement and recommends the following schedule:

- Year One: Crack Sealing and Patching the Pavement,
- Year Two: Double Micro-Resurfacing the Pavement,
- Year Four: Applying a Surface Rejuvenator to the Pavement.


## Year One - Crack Sealing

Crack sealing is the placement of liquid materials into or above existing cracks in the pavement. This process prevents water and materials from penetrating into these cracks, which left untreated, would cause further deterioration of the street. Crack sealing is only applied to cracks in the pavement and will not present a uniform appearance to the road, yet may change the PCI. Crack sealing prevents further deterioration of the existing pavement from 2-3 years and is considered maintenance for the purposes of the Capital Improvement Plan.

## Year Two - Micro-Resurfacing

Micro-resurfacing is an application of $1 / 4$ inch (single application) or $1 / 2$ inch (double application) of a mixture that is overlaid on the entire existing asphalt surface of the street. This process will provide a uniform appearance to the street surface and using the microresurfacing process should improve the PCl and extend the life of existing pavement for an estimated 3 to 5 years. The micro-resurfacing process is categorized as a capital cost.

## Year Four- Surface Rejuvenator

Once pavement micro resurfacing has been performed, the asphalt will harden. Property Management is recommending the use of rejuvenator to restore the pavement surface and prevent premature cracking or raveling.

A one-coat application of rejuvenator is sprayed to penetrate into the pavement, replenishing the oily fraction of the asphalt and then enhance the properties of the micro-resurfacing. While surface rejuvenators will not change the PCI , they are an inexpensive treatment to prolong pavement life and delay major maintenance or reconstruction. The surface rejuvenator program is considered a capital cost for the District's Capital Improvement Plan.

## Project Review

Once the pavement work is completed, the overall pavement condition will be assessed by District Property Management to see if the goals and objectives that were originally set have been met. Project review will include noting the treatment type, treatment date, the improvement in condition, the improvement in serviceability, and other feedback information. District Staff will send updated information to Transmap to be input into the pavement management system. The PCI for the road may be adjusted to reflect the completed maintenance.

## Project Costs

Cost prices were calculated using FY 09-10 bid prices and consist of the following:

- Crack Sealing and Patching, is estimated at $\$ 100$ per Villa with mobilization of $\$ 3,500$ per project,
- Double Micro-Resurfacing is calculated at $\$ 3.14$ per square yard,
- Surface Rejuvenator is calculated using $\$ .80$ per square yard.
- Mill and Overlay is calculated using $\$ 4.80$ per square yard.
- Mobilization, unless indicated otherwise, is calculated at \$3,500 and is placed in a fiscal year where crack sealing is not occurring. Depending upon when projects are implemented the cost of mobilization may adjust.


## CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN ROAD SUMMARY

The data collected by Transmap was compiled into a villa road report. This report was used to prepare a cost work plan for the District. A spreadsheet summary utilizing the proposed preventative maintenance schedule for the upcoming ten (10) fiscal years is included and provides project details for each year. The summary identifies the Villa, square yardage of the villa road, the unit, square yardage of the residential road, the total collector roads along with the recommended work, the year the cost would occur, and annual/cumulative capital and maintenance costs. Crack Sealing is also included identifying operating costs and work timetables.

## CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FUNDING ANALYSIS

A Project Funding Summary is provided that reflects the dollar amount for road capital and maintenance projects by year for ten (10) fiscal years. The funding analysis considers several funding sources including working capital, General R \& R funds, and Road R \& R funds. Current operating expenses were also reviewed to determine if current operating funds would be available for the crack seal maintenance costs. The Capital Improvement Plan will be updated on an annual basis during the budget process to make any necessary adjustments and to add another year of recommendations.

## ROAD PROJECT LIST

The Capital Improvement Plan focuses on the fiscal year beginning 2010-11 and ending in fiscal year 2019-20 and has a total capital cost of \$2,565,256 and a total maintenance cost of \$151,200 and includes the following:

FY 2010-11
No Projects Planned.
Total Capital Cost: \$0 Total Maintenance Cost: \$0.
FY 2011-12
Surface Rejuvenator - All Villa Roads
Total Capital Cost: \$91,102 Total Maintenance Cost: \$0.
FY 2012-13
Surface Rejuvenator - All Residential Roads
Total Capital Cost: \$259,836 Total Maintenance Cost: \$0.
FY 2013-14
Double Micro-resurfacing - All Collector Roads
Total Capital Cost: \$438,083 Total Maintenance Cost: \$0.
FY 2014-15
Crack Sealing - Ashleigh, Greenbriar and Ivystone Villas and Unit 50
Total Capital Cost: \$0 Total Maintenance Cost: \$14,400.
FY 2015-16
Double Micro-resurfacing - Ashleigh, Greenbriar and Ivystone Villas and Unit 50
Crack Sealing - Chadwick, Merry Oak, Morningview, Pincecrest and Sunnyside Villas and Unit 46, Unit 54, Unit 59, Unit 61, Unit 62, and Unit 64

Total Capital Cost: \$102,891 Total Maintenance Cost: \$39,600.
FY 2016-17
Rejuvenator - All Collector Roads
Double Micro-resurfacing- Chadwick, Merry Oak, Morningview, Pincecrest and Sunnyside Villas and Unit 46, Unit 54, Unit 59, Unit 61, Unit 62, and Unit 64

Crack Sealing - Birchbrook, Bromley, Cameron, Fairlawn, Forsyth, Greenwood, Legacy, Mayfield, Sherwood, Waverly Villas and Unit 44, Unit 45, Unit 47, Unit 48, Unit 52, Unit 53, Unit 55, Unit 56, Unit 57, Unit 58 and Unit 65

Total Capital Cost: \$515,051 Total Maintenance Cost: \$75,600.

## FY 2017-18

Rejuvenator - Ashleigh, Greenbriar and Ivystone Villas and Unit 50
Double Micro-resurfacing- Birchbrook, Bromley, Cameron, Fairlawn, Forsyth, Greenwood, Legacy, Mayfield, Sherwood, Waverly Villas and Unit 44, Unit 45, Unit 47, Unit 48, Unit 52, Unit 53, Unit 55, Unit 56, Unit 57, Unit 58 and Unit 65

Crack Sealing - Quail Ridge Villa, Unit 51, Unit 63 and Unit 66
Total Capital Cost: $\$ 693,917$ Total Maintenance Cost: $\$ 14,400$.
FY 2018-19
Rejuvenator - Chadwick, Merry Oak, Morningview and Pincecrest and Sunnyside Villas and Unit 46, Unit 54, Unit 59, Unit 61, Unit 62, and Unit 64

Double Micro-resurfacing - Quail Ridge Villa, Unit 51, Unit 63 and Unit 66
Crack Sealing - Unit 49
Total Capital Cost: \$252,917 Total Maintenance Cost: \$3,600.
FY 2019-20
Rejuvenator- Birchbrook, Bromley, Cameron, Fairlawn, Forsyth, Greenwood, Legacy, Mayfield, Sherwood, Waverly Villas and Unit 44, Unit 45, Unit 47, Unit 48, Unit 52, Unit 53, Unit 55, Unit 56, Unit 57, Unit 58 and Unit 65

Double Micro-resurfacing - Unit 49
Crack Sealing - Unit 60
Total Capital Cost: \$211,459 Total Maintenance Cost: \$3,600.

DISTRICT \#4 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT COSTS - ROADS

| LLA | SQ YARDS | Recommended Work | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 2016-17 | 17-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ashleigh Villas | 4,565.89 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 14-15 Double Microresurface 15-16 Rej. 17-18 |  | \$3,653 |  |  | \$3,600 | \$14,337 |  | \$3,653 |  |  |
| Birchbrook Villas | 2,577.56 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  | \$2,062 |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$8,094 |  | \$2,062 |
| Bromley Villas | 3,976.67 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  | \$3,181 |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$12,487 |  |  |
| Cameron Villas | 7,227.56 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  | \$5,782 |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$22,695 |  | \$5,782 |
| Chadwick Villas | 6,351.56 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 15-16 Double Microresurface 16-17 Rej 18-19 |  | \$5,081 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$19,944 |  | \$5,081 |  |
| Fairlawn Villas | 6,456.89 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  | \$5,166 |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$20,275 |  | \$5,166 |
| Forsyth Villas | 3,977.56 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  | \$3,182 |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$12,490 |  | \$3,11 |
| Greenbriar Villas | 9,720.89 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 14-15 Double Microresurface 15-16 Rej. 17-18 |  | \$7,777 |  |  | \$3,600 | \$30,524 |  | \$7,777 |  |  |
| Greenwood Villas | 6,916.67 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  | \$5,533 |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$21,718 |  | \$5,533 |
| ivystone Villas | 6,370.67 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 14-15 Double Microresurface 15-16 Rej. 17-18 |  | \$5,097 |  |  | \$3,600 | \$20,004 |  | \$5,097 |  |  |
| Legacy Villas | 2,862.00 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  | \$2,290 |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$8,987 |  | \$2,290 |
| Maytield Villas | 1,386.67 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  | \$1,109 |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$4,354 |  | \$1,109 |
| Merry Oak Villas | 7,384.00 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 15-16 Double Microresurface 16-17 Rej 18-19 |  | \$5,907 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$23,186 |  | \$5,907 |  |
| Morningview Villas | 6,786.29 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 15-16 Double Microresurface 16-17 Rej 18-19 |  | \$5,429 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$21,309 |  | \$5,429 |  |
| Pinecrest Villas | 4,096.89 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 15-16 Double Microresurface 16-17 Rej 18-19 |  | \$3,278 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$12,864 |  | \$3,278 |  |
| Quail Ridge Villa | 5,794.11 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 17-18 Double Microresurface 18-19 Rej 20-21 |  | \$4,635 |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$18,193 |  |
|  | 9,893.33 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  | \$7,915 |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$31,065 |  | \$7,915 |
| Sunnyside Villas | 7,610.22 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 15-16 Double Microresurface 16-17 Rej 18-19 |  | \$6,088 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$23,896 |  | \$6,088 |  |
| Waverly Villas | 5,546.67 | Rej 11-12 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  | \$4,437 |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$17,417 |  |  |
| ${ }^{*}$ Mobilization - Villa |  |  |  | \$3,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,500 | \$3,500 |
| TOTAL VILLA ROADS DISTRICT 4 | 109,502.10 |  | 50 | \$91,102 | S0 | \$0 | \$10,800 | \$82,865 | \$137,199 | \$179,709 | \$47,476 | \$44,157 |
| Unit 44 | 26,506.67 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  |  | \$21,205 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$83,231 |  | \$21,205 |
| Unit 45 | 14,475.56 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  |  | \$11,580 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$45,453 |  | \$11,580 |
| Unit 46 | 13,591.11 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 15-16 Double Microresurface 16-17 Rej 18-19 |  |  | \$10,873 |  |  | \$3,600 | \$42,676 |  | \$10,873 |  |
| Unit 4 | 18,933.33 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  |  | \$15,147 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$59,451 |  | \$15,147 |
| Unit 48 | 14,104.44 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  |  | \$11,284 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$44,288 |  | \$11,284 |
| Unit 49 | 11, 148.89 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 18-19 Double Microresurface 19-20 Rej $21-22$ |  |  | \$8,919 |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$35,008 |
| Unit 50 | 10,995.56 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 14-15 Double Microresurface 15-16 Rej. $17-18$ |  |  | \$8,796 |  | \$3,600 | \$34,526 |  | \$8,796 |  |  |
| Unit 51 | 17,804.44 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 17-18 Double Microresurface 18-19 Rej 20-21 |  |  | \$14,244 |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$55,906 |  |
| Unit 52 | 19,184.44 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  |  | \$15,348 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$60,239 |  | \$15,348 |
| Unit 53 | 15,064.44 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  |  | \$12,052 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$47,302 |  | \$12,052 |
| Unit 54 | 25,500.00 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 15-16 Double Microresurface 16-17 Rej 18-19 |  |  | \$20,400 |  |  | \$3,600 | \$80,070 |  | \$20,400 |  |
| Unit 55 | 7,913.33 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  |  | \$6,331 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$24,848 |  | \$6,331 |
| Unit 56 | 10,022.22 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  |  | \$8,018 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$31,470 |  |  |
| Unit 57 | 8,562.22 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  |  | \$6,850 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$26,885 |  | \$6,850 |
| Unit 58 | 5,606.67 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  |  | \$4,485 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$17,605 |  | \$4,485 |
| Unit 59 | 13,131.11 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 15-16 Double Microresurface 16-17 Rej 18-19 |  |  | \$10,505 |  |  | \$3,600 | \$41,232 |  | \$10,505 |  |
| Unit 60 | 2,688.89 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 19-20 Double Microresurface 20-21 Rej 22-23 |  |  | \$2,151 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 |
| Unit 61 | 13,842.22 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 15-16 Double Microresurface 16-17 Rej 18-19 |  |  | \$11,074 |  |  | \$3,600 | \$43,465 |  | \$11,074 |  |
| Unit 62 | 18,482.22 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 15-16 Double Microresurface 16-17 Rej 18-19 |  |  | \$14,786 |  |  | \$3,600 | \$58,034 |  | \$14,786 |  |
| Unit 63 | 8,720.00 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 17-18 Double Microresurface 18-19 Rej 20-21 |  |  | \$6,976 |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$27,381 |  |
| Unit 64 | 9,762.22 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 15-16 Double Microresurface 16-17 Rej 18-19 |  |  | \$7,810 |  |  | \$3,600 | \$30,653 |  | \$7,810 |  |
| Unit 65 | 20,617.78 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 16-17 Double Microresurface 17-18 Rej 19-20 |  |  | \$16,494 |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$64,740 |  | \$16,492 |
| Unit 66 | 13,760.00 | Rej 12-13 Crack Seal 17-18 Double Microresurface 18-19 Rej 20-21 |  |  | \$11,008 |  |  |  |  | \$3,600 | \$43,206 |  |
| Mobilization |  |  |  |  | \$3,500 |  |  | \$3,500 | \$3,500 | \$3,500 | \$3,500 | \$3,50 |
| TOTAL RESIDENTIAL ROADS DISTRICT \# 4 | 320,417.76 |  | So | S0 | \$259,836 | S0 | \$3,600 | \$59,626 | \$339,230 | \$528,608 | \$209,041 | \$170,902 |
| COLLECTOR ROADS DISTRICT \# 4 |  | Double Microresurface $13-14$ Biodegradable Reiuvenator $16-17$ |  |  |  | \$434,583 |  |  | \$110,722 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {M Mobilization - Collector }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,500 |  |  | \$3,500 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL COLLECTOR ROADS DISTRICT \# 4 | 138,402.25 |  | \$0 | So | So | \$438,083 | Sol | \$0 | \$114,222 | So | So | \$0 |
| TOTAL ALL DISTRICT \#4 ROADS | 568,322.11 |  | So | \$91,102 | \$259,836 | \$438,083 | \$14,400 | \$142,491 | \$590,651 | \$708,317 | \$256,517 | \$215,059 |


Capital Costs are for projects that receive mill and overlay or micro resurfacing and as part of the biodegradable rejuvenator project
Mintienance Costs are tor projectis that wiil receive crack seal or surrace rejuvenator costing under $\$ 10,000$ to the total yea
"Mobilization Added to Fiscal Year that had no Crack Seal Work Being Done


## DISTRICT FENCE

Throughout the District you will find wooden board fence outlining our roadways, neighborhoods and nature preserves. This fencing style was incorporated to distinguish our hometown community and safeguard protected lands.

The Villages overall development plan has set aside a number of refuges for protected native Florida species. These wildlife and wetland preserves were established to provide continued habitat for these animals to live, nest and thrive in natural surroundings.

Under the regulation of the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, the District provides, through fencing and monitoring, a secure and safe habitat for owls, kestrels, and tortoises, while also insuring our wetlands are maintained.

## FENCE SURVEY

District Property Management Supervisors performed physical surveys of the fence structure to assist with the preparation of the capital improvement plan. Information from the inspections has been assembled upon a spreadsheet that includes the fence location, useful life, approximate measurement, fence condition at the time of the survey, style of boards, latest major improvements and recommended work and methodology.

Several factors are considered when assessing fence replacement: the structural integrity, which can be compromised once the post that holds the boards together is affected, the approximate remaining life of the fence, the fence location within the community, the environmental conditions upon the fence and its maintenance history.

Further consideration may also be given if wildlife or wetland regulations apply, if the fencing is highly visible to residents and visitors; or if fencing is exposed to the elements of direct sunlight or being situated in water which may require more maintenance and may deteriorate at a faster rate of speed.

## FENCE PROGRAM

At the December 10, 2010 regular meeting, the Board decided to utilize a lumber composite type fencing to replace the wood fence along Buena Vista Boulevard and County Road 42. The remaining $35 \%$ of the District's fencing would continue to be replaced with wood fencing. The composite fencing does not require painting and has a longer expected life, thus increasing the number of years before replacement is required.

## FENCE MAINTENANCE PROGRAM

The District performs routine repair and fence painting maintenance on the wooden fence. Routine repairs consist of replacing broken boards and posts while trying to extend the useful life of the fence. Any work being done in the vicinity of the preserve areas requires an environmental professional to monitor the wildlife activity prior to and during any fence work. Wood fence painting is done approximately every four (4) years.

## FENCE REPLACEMENT

Wood fence replacement is estimated to occur approximately every fifteen (15) years. Composite fence replacement is estimated to occur approximately ever twenty (20) years. Various conditions affect the cost calculations of fence replacement such as location, number of boards and additional fence support. A preserve is designed to protect the wildlife from direct human interaction. If the location of the preserve does not lend itself to direct access by truck, a project becomes more labor intensive as the boards and posts must be hand carried in and out for the work to be performed resulting in an increased per linear foot cost. Certain terrain conditions may require additional boards to support the fence or wire at the bottom of the structure to insure wildlife stays within a preserve and may increase the linear foot cost.

A spreadsheet summary depicting District Property Management's replacement schedule for the upcoming ten (10) fiscal years is included and provides information for project work in each year. The summary identifies the fence and its location, the year the cost would occur and annual/cumulative capital and maintenance costs. Fence painting is also included identifying operating costs and work timetables.

Cost prices are calculated at FY 09-10 bid prices and consist of the following:

- 3 board wood fence replacement is calculated at $\$ 5.85-\$ 6.00$ per linear foot,
- 4 board wood fence replacement is calculated at $\$ 7.00$ per linear foot,
- For areas that require hand carrying of materials the cost is calculated at $\$ 12.00$ per linear foot,
- Composite lumber fencing is calculated at $\$ 13.70$ per linear foot, installed.
- Fence painting is calculated at $\$ 1.25$ per linear foot.


## DISTRICT \# 4 FENCE REPLACEMENT PROGRAM

District \#4 hosts the 29.99 acres which includes the Lindsey More Mathews Kestrel Preserve, the Karney Schwartz Hicks Preserve, the Paige Marsden Boone Preserve and the Kelsea Louise Morse Preserve.

Roadway fence includes the western side and portions along the eastern side of Buena Vista Boulevard and perimeter fencing located behind various residential units.

The proposed fence replacement plan for Fiscal Year 2010-11 through Fiscal Year 2019-20 is estimated (using Fiscal Year 09-10 dollars) at a capital cost of $\$ 361,879$ and a maintenance cost of $\$ 53,242$ for a total estimated cost of $\$ 415,121$. Cost breakdown by year is shown below:

FY 2010-11

## Total Capital Cost: \$0 Total Maintenance Cost: \$9,375.

FY 2011-12
Total Capital Cost: \$0 Total Maintenance Cost: \$12,763.

FY 2012-13
Total Capital Cost: \$0 Total Maintenance Cost: \$9,013.
FY 2013-14
Total Capital Cost: \$0 Total Maintenance Cost: \$0.
FY 2014-15
Fiscal Year 14-15 includes replacement of approximately 3,200 linear feet for the Lindsey Morse Mathews Kestrel, Unit 44 along Buena Vista Boulevard of approximately 4,370 linear feet, Unit 56 and Unit 58 along Buena Vista Boulevard of approximately 1,760 linear feet. Unit 65 along the north and west boundary of 4,798 linear feet and Unit 217 along Buena Vista Boulevard of 1,080 linear feet and Unit 226 consisting of 660 linear feet.

Total Capital Cost: \$178,518 Total Maintenance Cost: \$1,913.
FY 2015-16
Fiscal Year 15-16 includes replacement of approximately 1,100 linear feet near Unit 59, Unit 61 along the southern boundary of approximately 1,550 linear feet, Unit 66 along the western boundary of approximately 2,200 linear feet and Unit 222 consisting of 1,120 linear feet.

## Total Capital Cost: \$41,790 Total Maintenance Cost: \$0.

FY 2016-17
Fiscal Year 16-17 includes replacement of approximately 3,090 linear feet for the Wetlands located near Unit 62-63, the south and west boundary near unit 45 of approximately 1,530 linear feet, Unit 46 along Buena Vista Boulevard of approximately 1,360 linear feet, the eastern boundary of Unit 48 of approximately 1,630 linear feet. Unit 51 along the north boundary of 2,300 linear feet, Unit 53 along Buena Vista Boulevard of 2,270 linear feet, Unit 62 along the southern border consisting of 3,050 linear feet, Unit 64 along the northern border consisting of 870 linear feet and Unit 220 along the north side consisting of 650 linear feet.

Total Capital Cost: \$141,571 Total Maintenance Cost: \$0.
FY 2017-18
Total Capital Cost: \$0 Total Maintenance Cost: \$0.
FY 2018-19
Total Capital Cost: \$0 Total Maintenance Cost: \$12,715.
FY 2019-20
Total Capital Cost: \$0 Total Maintenance Cost: \$7,463.

## DISTRICT \# 4 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN - FENCE COSTS

| $\square$ |  | Descriptor/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Useful Life } \\ & \text { in Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Measurem } \\ & \text { LFors } \end{aligned}$ |  | Fence <br> Condition | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Style of } \\ \text { Boards } \end{array}$ | LATEST Date | MAJOR IMPROVEMENT Explanation |  | RECOMMENDED WORK \& METHODOLOGY | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kestrel Preserve |  | Belle Meade Circle | ${ }^{15}$ | 3,200 | LF | Fair | dras |  |  | LF $\times$ Cost | Replacement 14/15 |  |  |  |  | \$22,400 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wetlands |  | Unit 62-63 | 15 | 3,090 | LF | Fair | 4 | 2007-08 | Painted | LF $\times$ Cost | Replacement 16/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$21,630 |  |  |  |
| Unit 44 | Piedmont | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 4,370 | LF | Fair | 4 | 07-2005 | Painted/Budgeted 09- | LF $\times$ Cost | Replacement 14/15 |  |  |  |  | \$59,869 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 45 | Piedmont | South \& West Boundary | 15 | 1,530 | LF | Fair | 4 | 4/19907 | Painted | LF $\times$ Cost | Replacement 16/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,710 |  |  |  |
| Unit 46 | Springdale | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 1,360 | LF | Good | 4 | 9/209 | Painted | LF X Cost | Replacement 16/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$18,632 |  |  |  |
| Unit 47 |  | Responsibility of Harmeswood Residents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Responsibility of Harmeswood Residents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 48 | Springdale | East Boundary | 15 | 1,630 | LF | Good | 4 | 9/209 | Painted | LF X Cost | Replacement 16/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$11,410 |  |  |  |
| Unit 51 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Springdale } \\ & \text { near } \\ & \text { Sunnyside } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | North Boundary | 15 | 2.300 | LF | Good | 4 | 9/209 | Painted | LF x Cost | Replacement 16/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 53 | Springdale | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 1,270 | LF | Good | 4 | 9/209 | Painted | LF $\times$ Cost | Replacement 16/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$17,399 |  |  |  |
| Unit 53 | Erin Glen | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 1,000 | LF | Good | 3 | 9/209 | Painted | LF X Cost | Replacement 16/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$13,700 |  |  |  |
| Unit 56 | Morningview | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 860 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 09-10 | Budgeted for Paint | LF X Cost | Replacement 14/15 |  |  |  |  | \$11,782 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 58 | B/W Chadwick \& Waverly | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 900 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 09-10 | Budgeted for Paint | LF x Cost | Replacement 14/15 |  |  |  |  | \$12,330 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 59 | East of Villas of Sherwood |  | 15 | 1,100 | LF | Fair | 4 | 2006-07 | Painted | LF x Cost | Replacement 15/16 |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,700 |  |  |  |  |
|  | FFintlock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 61 | Terrace | South Boundary | 15 | 1,550 | LF | Fair | 4 | 2006-07 | Painted | LF X Cost | Replacement 15/16 |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,850 |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 62 | Arlington | South Boundary | 15 | 3,050 | LF | Fair | 4 | 2007-08 | Painted | LF X Cost | Replacement 16/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$21,350 |  |  |  |
| Unit 64 | Calumet Grove | North Boundary | 15 | 870 | LF | Fair | 4 | 2007-08 | Painted | LF x Cost | Replacement 16/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$6,090 |  |  |  |
| Unit 65 | Calumet | West Boundary |  | 2.602 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 09-10 | Budgeted | LF $\times$ Cost | Replacement 14/15 |  |  |  |  | \$18,214 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 65 | CR 42 | CR 42 North | 15 | 8,602 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 09-10 | Budgeted | LF x Cost | Replacement 14/15 |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { \$11,919 }}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 65 | CR 42 | CR 42 Torrey Pine | 15 | 1,326 | LF | Fair | 2 | FY 09-10 | Budgeted | LF $\times$ Cost | Replacement 14/15 |  |  |  |  | \$18,166 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 66 | Piedmont/ Loop | West Boundary | 15 | 2,200 | LF | Fair | 4 | 2006-07 | Painted | LF x Cost | Replacement 15/16 |  |  |  |  |  | \$15,400 |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 216 |  | Residents are responsible for the Fence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Residents are responsible for the Fence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 217 | Near Waverly Villas | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 1,080 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 09-10 | Budgeted | LF x Cost | Replacement 14/15 |  |  |  |  | \$14,796 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 220 | Sunnyside <br> Villas North <br> Side | Sunnyside Villa North Side | 15 | 650 | LF | Fair | 4 | 2008-09 | Painted | LF X Cost | Replacement 16/17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,550 |  |  |  |
|  | Villas of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 222 | Sherwood |  | 15 | 1,120 | LF | Fair | 4 | 2006-07 | Painted | LF x Cost | Replacement 15/16 |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,840 |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 226 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Near } \\ & \text { MerryOak } \end{aligned}$ | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 660 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 09-10 | Budgeted | LF X Cost | Replacement 14/15 |  |  |  |  | 99,042 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS |  |  |  | 38,588 | LF |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 50 | \$0 | S0 | \$178,518 | \$41,790 | \$141,571 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| REPLACEMENT COST FAC REPLACEMENT COST FAC TOTAL NON CAPITAL | 7.00 per linear COMPOSITE | foot <br> @ $\$ 13.70$ per linear foot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| District \# 4 Fence Painting |  | Descriptor/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Useful Life } \\ & \text { in Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Measurem } \\ \text { LF or S } \end{gathered}$ |  | Condition | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Style of } \\ \text { Boards } \end{array}$ | LATEST Date | MAJOR IIPPROVEMENT <br> Explanation |  | RECOMMENDED WORK \& METHODOLOGY | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kestrel Preserve |  | Belle Meade Circle | 15 | 3200 | LF | Fair | 4 |  |  | F $\times$ Cost | Paint FY 11-12/18-19 / Replacement 14-15 |  | 54000 |  |  | R |  |  |  | \$4000 |  |
| Wetlands |  | Unit $62-63$ | 15 | 3,090 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 07-08 | Painted | LF $\times$ Cost | Paint FY 11-12/ Replacement 16-17 |  | \$3,863 |  |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |
| Unit 44 | Piedmont | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 4,370 | LF | Fair | 4 | 07-2005 | Painted 09-10 | LF $\times$ Cost | Replacement 14-15 |  |  |  |  | R |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {\$5,463 }}$ |  |
| Unit 45 | Piedmont | South \& West Boundary | 15 | 1,530 | LF | Fair | 4 | 4/19107 | Painted | LF $\times$ Cost | Paint FY 10-11/14-15 / Replacement 16-17 | \$1,913 |  |  |  | \$1,913 |  | R |  |  |  |
| Unit 46 | Springdale | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 1,360 | LF | Good | 4 | 9/2/09 | Painted | LF $\times$ Cost | Paint FY 12-13 / Replacement 16-17 |  |  | \$1,700 |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |
| Unit 47 |  | South \& East Boundary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Responsibility of Harmeswood Residents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 48 | Springdale | East Boundary | 15 | 1,630 | LF | Good | 4 | 9/2/09 | Painted | LFx Cost | Paint FY 12-13 / Replacement 16-17 |  |  | \$2,038 |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |
| Unit 51 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Springdale } \\ & \text { near } \\ & \text { Sunnside } \\ & \text { Villys } \end{aligned}$ | North Boundary | 15 | 2,300 | LF | Good | 4 | 9/2/09 | Painted | LF $\times$ Cost | Paint FY 12-13 / Replacement 16-17 |  |  | \$2,875 |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |
| Unit 53 | Springdale | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 1,270 | LF | Good | 4 | 9/2/09 | Painted | LFx $\times$ Cost | Paint FY 12-13 / Replacement 16-17 |  |  | \$1,588 |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |
| Unit 53 | Erin Glen | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 1,000 | LF | Good | 3 | 9/2/09 | Painted | LF $\times$ Cost | Paint FY 12-13/ Replacement 16-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |
| Unit 56 | Morringview | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 860 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 09-10 | Budgeted for Paint | LF $\times$ Cost | Replacement 14-15 |  |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 58 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{W} \\ \text { Chadwick \& } \\ \text { Waverly } \end{array}$ | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 900 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 09-10 | Budgeted for Paint | LF x Cost | Replacement 14-15 |  |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 59 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { East of Villas } \\ \text { of Sherwood } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 15 | 1,100 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 06-07 | Painted | LF x Cost | Paint FY 10-11 / 19-20 / Replacement 15-16 | \$1,375 |  |  |  |  | R |  |  |  | 1,375 |
| Unit 61 | Finitlock Terrace | South Boundary | 15 | 1.550 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 06-07 | Painted | LF $\times$ Cost | Paint FY 10-11 / 19-20 / Replacement 15-16 | \$1938 |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arington | Soun Boundary |  |  |  |  |  | Prob-07 |  | LrxCost | Paint FY 0 -11/ 9 -20 Replacement $15-16$ | \$1,938 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,938 |
| Unit 62 | Loop | South Boundary | 15 | 3,050 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 07-08 | Painted | LF $\times$ Cost | Paint FY 11-12 / Replacement 16-17 |  | \$3,813 |  |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |
| Unit 64 | Calumet <br> Grove | North Boundary | 15 | 870 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 07-08 | Painted | LF $\times$ Cost | Paint FY 11-12 / Replacement 16-17 |  | \$1,088 |  |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |
| Unit 65 | Calumet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 65 | CRave | West Boundary |  | 2,602 | LF | Fair | 4 |  | Budgeted | LFxCost | Paint 18-19/ Replacement 14-15 |  |  |  |  | R |  |  |  | \$3,253 |  |
| Unit 65 | CR 42 | CR 42 Torrey Pine | 15 | -8,326 | LF | Fair | 2 | FY 09-10 | Budgeted | LF $\times$ Cost | Replacement 14-15 |  |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Piedmont/ <br> Winterthur | West Bound | 15 | 200 | IF | F | 4 | FY 060 | Pinted | - | Pint FY 10-11/19 - |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 216 |  | Residents are responsible for the Fence |  |  |  |  |  | FY06-07 | Painted | LFxCost | Residents are Responsible for the Fence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Near |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 217 | Waverly | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 1,080 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 09-10 | Budgeted | LF x Cost | Replacement 14-15 |  |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 220 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Sunnyside } \\ \text { Villas North } \\ \text { Side } \end{array}$ | Sunnyside Villa North Side | 15 | 650 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 08-09 | Painted | LF x Cost | Paint FY 12-13 / Replacement 16-17 |  |  | \$813 |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |
|  | Villas of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 222 | Sherwood |  | 15 | 1,120 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 06-07 | Painted | LF $\times$ Cost | Paint FY 10-11 / 19-20 / Replacement 15-16 | \$1,400 |  |  |  |  | R |  |  |  | \$1,400 |
| Unit 226 | MerryOak | Along Buena Vista Boulevard | 15 | 660 | LF | Fair | 4 | FY 09-10 | Budgeted | LF x Cost | Replacement 14-15 |  |  |  |  | R |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  | 38,588 | LF |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$9,375 | \$12,763 | \$9,013 | \$0 | \$1,913 | S0 | \$0 | so | \$12,715 | \$7,463 |

4 Board Painting Cost is $\$ 1.25$ per linear foot


|  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 178,518$ | $\$ 41,790$ | $\$ 141,571$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



DISTRICT\#4 WALL \& ENTRY PAINTING
FY 2010-11 THROUGH FY 2019-20

| Unit | Descriptor/ Location | Type | Year Built | Useful Life of Asser in Years | Measurement | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Height } \\ \text { in } \mathrm{FT} \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Condition | $\begin{gathered} \text { n LATEST I } \\ \text { Date } \end{gathered}$ | MAJOR IMPROVEMENT Explanation | RECOMMENDED W | Work \& Methodology | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit 227 Ashleigh Villa | Fieldcrest Ave | Pre cast Concrete | 2002 | 100 | 1,130 LF | 7 | Fair |  |  | LF $\times$ HGT $\times$ Cost | PAINT 10-11/ 18-19 | \$4,746 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢4,746 }}$ |  |
| Unit 228 Forsyth Villa | Mulberry Lane | Pre cast Concrete | 2002 | 100 | 1,720 LF | 7 | Good |  |  | LF $\times$ HGT $\times$ Cost | PAINT 12-13/19-20 |  |  | \$7,224 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,224 |
| Unit 229 Birchbrook Villa | Belle Meade Circle | Pre cast Concrete | 2002 | 100 | 925 LF | 7 | Good |  |  | LF $\times$ HGT $\times$ Cost | PAINT 12-13/19-20 |  |  | \$3,885 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,885 |
| Unit 230 Legacy Villa | Legacy Lane | Pre cast Concrete | 2002 | 100 |  | 7 | Good |  |  | LF $\times$ HGT $\times$ Cost | PAINT 12-13/19-20 |  |  | \$2,688 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,688 |
| Unit 214 Fairlawn Villa | Belle Meade Circle | Stucco | 2000 | 100 | 3,100 LF | 7 | Good | FY 08.09 | Painted | LF $\times$ HGT $\times$ Cost | PAINT 15-16 |  |  |  |  |  | \$13,020 |  |  |  |  |
| Unit 216 Chadwick Villa | Belle Meade Circle | Stucco | 2001 | 100 | 2.475 LF | 7 | Fair | FY 09-10 | Budgeted for Paint | LF $\times$ HGT $\times$ Cost | PAINT 16-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,395 |  |  |  |
| Unit 218 Greenbriar Villa | Legacy Lane | Stucco | 2001 | 100 | 2,150 LF | 7 | Fair | FY 09-10 | Budgeted for Paint | LF $\times$ HGT $\times$ Cost | PAINT 16-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99,030 |  |  |  |
| Unit 219 Quail Ridge Villa | Belle Meade Circle | Stucco | 2001 | 100 | 1,620 LF | 7 | Good |  |  | LF $\times$ HGT $\times$ Cost | PAINT 10-11/ /7-18 | \$6,804 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{96,804}$ |  |  |
| Unit 223 Cameron Villa | Calumet Ave | Pre cast Concrete | 2002 | 100 | 1,040 LF | 7 | Good |  |  | LF $\times$ HGT $\times$ Cost | PAINT 10-11/ 17-18 | \$4,368 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,368 |  |  |
| Unit 224 Morningview Villa | Calumet Ave | Stucco | 2002 | 100 | 2.470 LF | 7 | Good |  |  | LF $\times$ HGT $\times$ Cost | PAINT 11-12/18-19 |  | \$10,374 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,374 |  |
| Unit 225 Greenwood Villa | Fieldcrest Ave | Pre cast Concrete | 2002 | 100 | $2,065 \mathrm{LF}$ | 7 | Good |  |  | LF $\times$ HGT $\times$ Cost | PAINT 11-12 / 18-19 |  | \$8,673 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$8,673 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRAND TOTAL DISTRICT \#4 WALL \& ENTRY PAINTING |  |  |  |  | 19,335 LF |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$15,918 | \$19,047 | \$13,797 | \$0 | \$0 | \$13,020 | \$19,425 | \$11,172 | \$23,793 | \$13,797 |
| PAINTING @ s. 60 per Foot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District \#4 Capital Costs | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | so | sol | so | so | so | so | so | so | So | so |
| District \#4 Maintenance Costs | \$129,969 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$15,918 | \$19,047 | \$13,797 | so | so | \$13,020 | \$19,425 | \$11,172 | \$23,793 | \$13,797 |
| GRAND TOTAL FY 2010-2020 | \$129,969 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$15,918 | \$19,047 | \$13,797 | so | so | \$13,020 | \$19,425 | \$11,172 | \$23,793 | \$13,797 |

## FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The District's capital improvement plans are designed to provide a comprehensive and cost effective approach to identifying capital needs of the District. We welcome resident input in the continuing development of the District's capital improvement plan so please contact us with your suggestions or if you have any questions about the report.

You may reach the Office of Management and Budget at 3201 Wedgewood Lane, The Villages, FL 32162; Telephone (352) 751-3939.

Please visit the Village Community Development District web site at www.districtgov.org to obtain more information about Community Development District \#4, including budgets, audits, board meetings, agendas and minutes.
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